Semisynthesis and Acetylcholinesterase Inhibitory Activity of Stemofoline Alkaloids and Analogues

Kwankamol Sastraruji,[†] Thanapat Sastraruji,[†] Stephen G. Pyne,^{*,†} Alison T. Ung,^{*,§} Araya Jatisatienr,[‡] and Wilford Lie[†]

School of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, 2522, Australia, Department of Chemistry and Forensic Science, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, 2007, Australia, and Department of Biology, Chiang Mai University, Chiang Mai 50202, Thailand

Received March 3, 2010

Semisynthesis of the known *Stemona* alkaloids oxystemofoline (7) and methoxystemofoline (8) has been achieved starting from (11Z)-1',2'-didehydrostemofoline (6), which confirmed their structures and absolute configurations. The synthesis of (1'R)-hydroxystemofoline (9) helped establish this compound as a natural product from *Stemona aphylla*. (1'S)-Hydroxystemofoline (10) and a number of related analogues were also prepared. In a TLC bioautographic assay, 9 was found to be the most active acetylcholinesterase inhibitor, but it was not as active as galanthamine.

The Stemona family of more than 80 alkaloids has been classified by Pilli into eight different structural groups.¹ The pyrrolo[1,2alazepine (5,7-bicyclic A,B ring system) nucleus is common to all compounds in six of these groups, while a pyrido [1,2-a] azepine A,B ring system (6,7-bicyclic A,B ring system) is found in the more recently discovered stemocurtisine group of Stemona alkaloids.^{1,2} A miscellaneous group comprising five alkaloids has also been identified.¹ Greger has classified the Stemona alkaloids into three skeletal types based on their proposed biosynthetic origins.³ We recently reported the semisynthesis of (3'R)-stemofolenol (1), (3'S)stemofolenol (2), methylstemofoline (3), and (3'S)-hydroxystemofoline (4) and the unnatural analogue (3'R)-hydroxystemofoline (5) from (11Z)-1',2'-didehvdrostemofoline (6). This study allowed for the first access to diastereomerically enriched samples of these compounds in quantities sufficient to allow testing of their acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory activities.⁴ This paper reports the semisynthesis of the known *Stemona* alkaloids oxystemofoline $(7)^5$ and methoxystemofline (8),⁵ which confirmed their structures and resolved the controversy about their absolute configurations.^{5,6} We also disclose the synthesis of (1'R)-hydroxystemofoline (9), which we have now discovered is a natural product, (1'S)-hydroxystemofoline (10), and a number of related analogues. The inhibitory activities of these compounds against AChE is also reported.

Results and Discussion

For the synthesis of oxystemofoline (7) (Scheme 1), (11Z)-1', 2'didehydrostemofoline (6) was converted to the known aldehyde 17 as we described previously.⁴ In order to form the *trans*-alkene 18, a modified Julia olefination reaction⁷ was employed using the sulfone 22 (Scheme 2). However, while the E-selectivity was high (E/Z = >99:<1) the yield of 18 was low (33%) due to the high sensitivity of aldehyde 17 to the strongly basic conditions. TBSdeprotection of compound 18 gave the homoallylic alcohol 19, which was then regioselectively hydrogenated to give 7. The specific rotation of 7 ($[\alpha]_{D}^{22}$ +297.8 (c 0.52, CH₃OH); lit.⁵ $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ +106.0 (c 0.1, CH₃OH)) was of the same sign but larger in magnitude than that reported for the natural product. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of 7 proved to be identical to the natural product, 5 except for the 13 C NMR signals for C-6 and C-1', which were originally incorrectly assigned. Thus, this synthesis confirmed the proposed structure of the natural product and established its absolute configuration since that of the stemofoline HBr salt has been established by X-ray



crystallographic analysis.⁸ A *Stemona* alkaloid named parvistemoninol, which had the same specific rotation as oxystemofoline, was reported to have the structure enantiomeric to $7.^{6}$ It is now clear that parvistemoninol and oxystemofoline are the same, and we suggest that the name parvistemoninol no longer be used.

Synthesis of methoxystemofoline (8) (Scheme 3) was achieved using a method similar to that used for synthesis of 7 except that the sulfone 25 (Scheme 2) was used for the first step. The yield of the *E*-alkene 26 was very low (E/Z = >99:<1).

Scheme 1. Synthesis of Oxystemofoline (7)





^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel: +61-24221-3511. Fax: +61-24221-4287. E-mail: spyne@uow.edu.au.

[†] University of Wollongong.

[§] University of Technology Sydney.

^{*} Chiang Mai University.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Methoxystemofoline (8)



Hydrogenation of **26** gave methoxystemofoline (**8**) (Scheme 3). The specific rotation of **8** ($[\alpha]_D^{25} + 247$ (*c* 0.29, CH₃OH); lit.⁵ $[\alpha]_D^{21.6}$ +75.6 (*c* 0.037, CH₃OH)) was of the same sign but much larger in magnitude compared to that reported for the natural product. Such alkaloids typically have specific rotations around 200.¹ The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of **8** agreed with those of the natural product methoxystemofoline⁵ except for the incorrect assignment of the ¹³C NMR signals for C-6 and C-1'.

Allylation of 17^4 using indium powder and allyl bromide, with sonication,⁹ gave an inseparable mixture of the diastereomeric alcohols **27** and **28** in a ratio of 65:35 (Scheme 4). Their acetate derivatives (**29** and **30**), however, were readily separated. When the acetate groups were removed under transesterification conditions using MeOH/Na₂CO₃, methanol Michael addition products at C-11–C-12 were also formed. Hydrolysis using LiOH in aqueous THF was more successful in providing the desired alcohols **27** and **28** (Scheme 4). The configuration of **27** at C-1' was assigned from

Scheme 4.^{*a*}



^{*a*} Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) indium, allyl bromide, THF/aq NH₄Cl (5: 2), sonication, 2–3 h, **27:28** = 65:35; (ii) ^{*l*}Ipc₂Ball, THF, 0 °C, 2 h, **27:28** = 9:1, 77% yield; (iii) ^{*d*}Ipc₂Ball, THF, 0 °C, 2 h, **27:28** = 14:86, 69% yield; (b) Ac₂O, pyridine, rt, 4 h, **29**: 44% yield (2 steps), **30**: 28% yield (2 steps); (c) LiOH, THF/H₂O (2:1), rt, 16 h, **27**: 61% yield, **28**: 73% yield.

its synthesis from **17** using the chiral borane reagent ${}^{I}\text{Ipc}_{2}\text{Ball}$, which is generally stereoselective for the (*R*)-homoallylic alcohol product.¹⁰ This reaction gave a mixture of **27** and **28** in a ratio of 9:1, in a yield of 77%. When ${}^{d}\text{Ipc}_{2}\text{Ball}$ was employed, a mixture of **27** and **28** was obtained in a ratio of 14:86, in a yield of 69%. The pure alcohols **27** and **28** were hydrogenated to give (1'*R*)hydroxystemofoline (**9**) and (1'*S*)-hydroxystemofoline (**10**) in relatively low yields (36–42%) due to the formation of side products arising from reduction of the C-11–C-12 double bond (Scheme 5). Surprisingly, (1'*R*)-hydroxystemofoline (**9**) was identical by NMR spectroscopy to the alkaloid that we had isolated previously from the root extracts of *Stemona aphylla* and which we had incorrectly reported as (2'*S*)-hydroxystemofoline.¹¹ Thus, (1'*R*)-hydroxystemofoline is also a natural product.





Dihydroxylation of stemofoline (11),⁴ using catalytic $K_2OsO_4 \cdot 2H_2O$ and stoichiometric NMO, gave the diol **31**, which was a single diastereomer by NMR analysis. Oxidative cleavage of diol **31** with freshly prepared NaIO₄ on silica gel⁴ gave the A,B,C ring core structure **32** (Scheme 6).

Scheme 6. Synthesis of the A,B,C Ring Core Structure 32



The Wittig reaction was utilized for synthesis of enal **33a** from **17** using (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetaldehyde.¹² This reaction also gave dienal **33b** and trienal **33c** as a result of consecutive Wittig reactions. These aldehydes were difficult to separate from each other and the triphenylphosphine oxide byproduct. Thus, the mixture was reduced with NaBH₄/MeOH to give a mixture of alcohols **34a**–c, which was separated by PTLC to give pure samples for biological testing (Scheme 7).

Scheme 7. Synthesis of Alcohols 34a, 34b, and 34c



Table 1. Minimum Amount of Sample Found to Inhibit AChE as Indicated by a White Zone of Inhibition

compound	Side chain	minimum inhibitory requirement	
compound		ng	nmol
galanthamine	011	1	0.003
9	244	5	0.012
6 ^{4,16}	342	5	0.013
34c	⁷ ⁴ ⁽) 3OH	10	0.023
34b	² ¹ ² ¹ ² OH	10	0.024
15	OH ³ 2400	10	0.024
4 ⁴	OH 2202	10	0.025
10	24	10	0.025
12 ¹⁶	² ¹ Vin OH	10	0.025
13 ¹⁷	Зъбъл ÖH	10	0.025
27	0H 345	10	0.025
11 ¹⁸	32	10	0.026
14 ⁴	³ Vv OH	10	0.028
29	QAc ³ vvvvvv	50	0.113
30	OAC ² u	50	0.113
16		50	0.119
8	Me OMe	50	0.120
7	³ u _{vu} OH	50	0.124
19	24 OH	50	0.125
28	UH Marine	50	0.125
34a	34 OH	50	0.129
26	۲۰٬۰٬››››››››››››››››››››››››››››››››››	100	0.241
5 ⁴	OH Marine	100	0.248
2^4	242 OH	100	0.249
3 ⁴	Me	100	0.290
32	-	100	0.361
31	-	500	1.188
1 ⁴	UH 3nts	500	1.247

The insecticidal activity shown by the root extracts of *Stemona* plants has been associated with the acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitory activities of their alkaloid components.^{13,14} Compounds 7–16, 19, 26–32, and 34a–c were therefore screened by TLC bioautography for their AChE inhibitory activities using the qualitative method of Hostettmann et al.¹⁵ and galanthamine as a positive control. The results are shown in Table 1. The inhibitory activities of the previously tested compounds $1-6^4$ are included.

In our earlier study (11Z)-1',2'-didehydrostemofoline (6) was the most active inhibitor, with a minimum inhibitory requirement of 5 ng (0.013 nmol).⁴ In this study (1'R)-hydroxystemofoline (9) showed a slightly higher inhibitory activity at 5 ng (0.012 nmol), while its 3',4'-didehydro deriviative (27) and its (1'S)-epimer (10) were less active (minimum inhibitory requirements of 10 ng, Table 1). Compound 9 was the most active of the compounds reported here. Stemofoline (11) was slightly less active than 6 and 9, while its 11,12-dihydroxy derivative (31) and the tricyclic derivative (32), which is missing the γ -butyrolactone ring found in 11, were 50 and 10 times less active, with minimum inhibitory requirements of 500 and 100 ng, respectively. Other compounds with activity similar to that of 11 (which all had a minimum inhibitory requirement of 10 ng) included the trien-ol **34c**, the dien-ol **34b**, the C-1' and C-2' alcohols 12, 13, 14, and 27, and the 1',2'-diol 15. The C-3' hydroxy analogues, (3'S)-4 and its 3'-epimer, (3'R)-5, showed a 10-fold difference in activities with minimum inhibitory requirements of 10 and 100 ng, respectively. The C-4' hydroxy- and methoxysubstituted stemofoline derivatives **7**, **8**, **19**, and **26** showed relatively weak activities. Of the truncated side-chain derivatives, the hydroxymethyl derivative **14** showed relatively high activity (10 ng), while the methyl derivative **3** and the 3'-hydroxy-1-propenyl derivative **34a** had much reduced activities (100 and 50 ng, respectively).

In summary, semisynthesis of the known *Stemona* alkaloids oxystemofoline (7) and methoxystemofiline (8) has been achieved starting from (11Z)-1',2'-didehydrostemofoline (6), which confirmed their structures and absolute configurations. The synthesis of (1'R)-hydroxystemofoline (9) helped to establish this compound as a natural product from *S. aphylla*. (1'S)-Hydroxystemofoline (10) and a number of related analogues were also prepared. In an assay as AChE inhibitors, 9 was the most active. In general, analogues with an OH at C-1' or C-2' were more active than analogues with an OH at C-3' or C-4', although the C-3' hydroxy compound 4 was an exception. The configuration of the carbinol center was also important for activity, except for the C-2' epimeric pair of compounds, 12 and 13, which were equipotent. Studies are continuing on the insecticidal activity of these alkaloids on insects of importance to the agricultural industry.

Experimental Section

General Experimental Procedures. These were as described previously.¹⁶ All ¹H NMR (500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (125 MHz) spectra were determined in CDCl₃ solution unless otherwise indicated. ¹H NMR assignments were achieved with the aid of gCOSY and, in some cases, NOESY experiments. ¹³C NMR assignments were based upon DEPT, gHSQC, and gHMBC experiments. All compounds were homogeneous by TLC analysis and judged to be of >95% purity based upon ¹H NMR analysis.

Plant Material. The known starting material (11*Z*)-1',2'-didehydrostemofoline (**6**) was isolated from the unidentified *Stemona* species that we reported earlier.¹⁶ Roots of this *Stemona* species were collected at Amphur Mae Moh, Lampang, Thailand, in November 2007. The plant material was identified by Mr. James Maxwell (Department of Biology, Chiang Mai University) as the same species that we had studied previously.¹⁶ Voucher specimen number 25375 was deposited at the Herbarium of the Department of Biology, Chiang Mai University.

Extraction and Isolation. The dry, ground root of the *Stemona* species (935 g) was extracted with 95% EtOH (4 × 3000 mL) over 4 days at rt. The ethanolic solution was evaporated to give a dark brown residue (148 g). The extract was partitioned between MeOH/H₂O (1: 1) and CH₂Cl₂. The organic extract was dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo to give a dark brown residue (20 g). A portion of this material (2.50 g) was chromatographed on silica gel (100 mL) with gradient elution from CH₂Cl₂ to CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (95:5) to give (11*Z*)-1',2'-didehydrostemofoline (**6**)¹⁶ as a yellow-brown gum (1.48 g, 59% w/w).

(11Z)-1'_{α},2'_{α}- and (11Z)-1'_{β},2'_{β}-Dihydroxystemofoline (15 and 16). Compound 16 was a minor component from the synthesis of the known diol 15^4 using AD-mix- α (4.55 g, Aldrich), methanesulfonamide (617 mg, 6.49 mmol), and 6 (1.25 g, 3.25 mmol). The crude product was purified by column chromatography (CC) with gradient elution from CH₂Cl₂ to CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (9:1) to give 15⁴ (889 mg, 2.12 mmol, 65% yield) as a white solid and 16 (28 mg, 0.07 mmol, 2% yield). These compounds were also prepared from a similar method using AD-mix- β (474 mg, Aldrich), methanesulfonamide (64 mg, 0.68 mmol), and 6 (130 g, 0.339 mmol) to give 15 (9.9 mg, 0.024 mmol, 7% yield) and 16 (68.9 mg, 0.164 mmol, 48% yield). The 1 H and 13 C NMR spectra of **15** from both methods agreed with those previously reported.⁴ **16**: colorless gum, $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +251 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 3380, 2960, 2919, 2873, 1741, 1680 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.68 (s, 1H, H-2), 4.13 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.75 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-2' α), 3.52 (s, 1H, H-1' α), 3.49 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.10 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 1H, H-7), 3.12–3.07 (m, 1H, H-10), 3.03-2.94 (m, 2H, H-5), 2.05 (s, 3H, H-16), 2.02-1.95 (m, 1H, H-6a), 1.93 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.88-1.84 (m, 1H, H-6b), 1.82 (dd, J 9.5 Hz, 2.5 Hz, 1H, H-9), 1.78 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.67-1.50 (m, 2H, H-3'), 1.36 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17), 0.95 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, H-4'); ¹³C NMR δ 170.1 (C-15), 163.1 (C-13), 148.8 (C-11), 128.0 (C-12), 112.5 (C-8), 98.6 (C-14), 85.8 (C-3), 76.4 (C-2), 72.3 (C-1'), 69.9 (C-2'), 61.5 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 48.9 (C-7), 48.7 (C-5), 47.8

(C-9), 34.7 (C-10), 33.0 (C-1), 28.2 (C-3'), 26.9 (C-6), 18.4 (C-17), 10.4 (C-4'), 9.2 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 420.0 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 421.2 (15%), 422.1 (5%); HRESIMS m/z 420.2008 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₂H₃₀NO₇ 420.2022.

1',2'-Didehydro-4'-(tert-butyldimethylsiloxyl)stemofoline (18). A mixture of sulfone 22 (157 mg, 0.424 mmol) in dry DMF (10 mL) under a N2 atmosphere was cooled to -60 °C, LiHMDS (0.39 mL of 1 M in THF) was added dropwise, and the solution was stirred at -60 °C for 2 h. The mixture was transferred via a cannula to a flask containing a solution of the aldehyde 17^4 (127 mg, 0.353 mmol) in dry DMF (10 mL) at $-60\ ^\circ C$ under $N_2.$ The reaction warmed to rt over 20 h before addition of a saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO3 (10 mL). The mixture was extracted with diethyl ether (3 \times 20 mL), and the extract was washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). The concentrated residue was purified by CC using gradient elution from CH₂Cl₂ to CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (98:2) to give the trans-alkene product 18 (61 mg, 0.117 mmol, 33% yield): $R_f = 0.50$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{22}$ +179.6 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 2955, 2924, 2883, 2852, 1746, 1621 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.74 (dt, J = 15.5 Hz, 7.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.58 $(d, J = 15.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}-1'), 4.21 \text{ (br s}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}-2), 4.14 \text{ (s}, 3\text{H}, \text{O}-\text{CH}_3),$ 3.64 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, H-4'), 3.50 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.13-3.07 (m, 10.13)2H, H-5a, H-10), 3.00–2.95 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.86 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.28 (q, J 7.0 Hz, 2H, H-3'), 2.07 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.95 (d, J 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.88-1.84 (m, 2H, H-6a, H-9), 1.84-1.76 (m, 2H, H-1b, H-6b), 1.38 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17), 0.88 (s, 9H, O-Si $(CH_3)_2C(CH_3)_3)$, 0.04 (s, 6H, O-Si $(CH_3)_2C(CH_3)_3$); ¹³C NMR δ 169.8 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.5 (C-11), 129.7 (C-1'), 128.4 (C-2'), 128.0 (C-12), 112.9 (C-8), 98.7 (C-14), 83.2 (C-3), 80.8 (C-2), 62.8 (C-4'), 61.0 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 51.4 (C-7), 48.2 (C-5), 47.8 (C-9), 36.1 (C-3'), 34.7 (C-10), 33.0 (C-1), 27.1 (C-6), 26.1 (O-Si(CH₃)₂C(CH₃)₃), 18.5 (C-17, O-Si(CH₃)₂C(CH₃)₃), 9.3 (C-16), -5.1 (O-Si $(CH_3)_2C(CH_3)_3$; ESIMS m/z 516.3 (100%) $[M + H]^+$, 517.3 (30%), 518.3 (10%); HRESIMS m/z 516.2768 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₈H₄₂NO₆Si 516.2781.

1',2'-Didehydro-4'-hydroxystemofoline (19). To a solution of 18 (32.7 mg, 0.064 mmol) in MeOH (2.0 mL) at rt was added 10% aqueous HCl (1.0 mL), and the solution was left to stir for 30 min. The reaction mixture was evaporated to a white residue, which was partitioned between saturated aqueous NaHCO3 and CH2Cl2. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 \times 10 mL). The CH2Cl2 extract was washed with brine and dried (MgSO4). The concentrated residue was purified by CC using gradient elution from EtOAc to EtOAc/MeOH (90:10) to give the alcohol 19 (22.2 mg, 0.055 mmol, 87% yield): $R_f = 0.11$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{23} + 240.8$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR v_{max} 3740, 2960, 2919, 2847, 1743, 1683, 1618 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 5.73 (dt, J = 15.3 Hz, 6.6 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.62 (d, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 4.21 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.13 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.64 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H, H-4'), 3.49 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.10-3.03 (m, 2H, H-5a, H-10), 3.02-2.97 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.84 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.32 (q, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H, H-3'), 2.05 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.94 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.88–1.84 (m, 2H, H-6a, H-6b), 1.83–1.79 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.79-1.74 (m, 1H, H-1a), 1.36 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 3H, H-17); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 169.9 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.4 (C-11), 130.6 (C-1'), 128.0 (C-12), 127.9 (C-2'), 112.8 (C-8), 98.7 (C-14), 83.2 (C-3), 80.6 (C-2), 61.9 (C-4'), 61.0 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 51.4 (C-7), 48.1 (C-5), 47.7 (C-9), 35.9 (C-3'), 34.7 (C-10), 33.0 (C-1), 27.0 (C-6), 9.2 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 402.2 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 403.2 (20%), 404.2 (10%); HRESIMS m/z 402.1898 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₂H₂₈NO₆ 402.1917.

Oxystemofoline (7). To a solution of alcohol **19** (10.9 mg, 0.027 mmol) in dry MeOH (2 mL) at rt was added PdCl₂ (2.2 mg, 20% w/w), and the flask was flushed with N₂ for 10 min before left to stir under a H₂ atmosphere (balloon) for 18 h. The flask was flushed with N₂, and the solution was filtered through Celite and then washed with MeOH. The filtrate was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by CC eluting with EtOAc to give oxystemofoline **7** (7.3 mg, 0.018 mmol, 66% yield): $R_f = 0.39$ in MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (1:9); $[\alpha]_D^{-2} + 297$ (*c* 0.52, CH₃OH); lit.⁸ $[\alpha]_D^{-2} + 106.0$ (*c* 0.1, CH₃OH). The NMR data agree with those of the natural product⁵ except the assignment of the ¹³C NMR signals for C-6 and C-1', which were incorrectly assigned. ESIMS *mlz* 403.8 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 404.9 (20%), 405.8 (13%); HRESIMS *mlz* 404.1977 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₂H₃₀NO₆ 404.2073.

1',2'-Didehydro-4'-methoxystemofoline (26). Compound 26 was prepared using a method similar to the synthesis of 18, from aldehyde

 17^4 (54 mg, 0.150 mmol), LiHMDS (0.16 mL of 1 M in THF), and sulfone 25 (51 mg, 0.180 mmol) to give 26 (9 mg, 0.022 mmol, 15% yield) as a yellow gum after purification by CC elution with EtOAc: $R_f = 0.23$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 206.2$ (c 0.71, CHCl₃); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ 2957, 2929, 2868, 1745, 1621, 1117 cm $^{-1};$ $^1{\rm H}$ NMR δ 5.75 (dt, J = 15.5 Hz, 7.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.60 (d, J = 16.0 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 4.22 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.13 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.51 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.41 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H, H-4'), 3.33 (s, $\overline{3H}$, 4'-O-CH₃), 3.12-3.06 (m, 2H, H-5a, H-10), 3.00-2.95 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.86 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.34 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, H-3'), 2.07 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.95 (d, J = 12.0Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.83-1.77 (m, 4H, H-1b, H-6, H-9), 1.37 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17); ¹³C NMR δ 169.8 (C-15), 163.0 (C-13), 148.5 (C-11), 129.6 (C-1'), 128.2 (C-2'), 128.1 (C-12), 112.9 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 83.3 (C-3), 80.7 (C-2), 72.2 (C-4'), 61.0 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 58.7 (4'-O-CH3), 51.4 (C-7), 48.2 (C-5), 47.8 (C-9), 32.8 (C-3'), 34.7 (C-10), 33.0 (C-1), 27.0 (C-6); ESIMS m/z 415.8 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 416.9 (20%), 417.9 (5%); HRESIMS m/z 416.2089 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₃H₃₀NO₆ 416.2073.

Methoxystemofoline (8). Compound **8** was prepared using a method similar to the synthesis of **7**, from compound **26** (10 mg, 0.025 mmol) and PdCl₂ (3 mg, 30% w/w) over a 1 h period. The crude product was purified by CC with gradient elution from EtOAc to EtOAc/MeOH (95:5) to give **8** (4.4 mg, 0.010 mmol, 43% yield) as a yellow gum: $R_f = 0.16$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{15} + 247.4$ (c 0.29, CH₃OH); lit.⁵ $[\alpha]_D^{16} + 75.6$ (c 0.037, CH₃OH). The NMR data agree with those of the natural product⁵ except for the assignment of the ¹³C NMR signals for C-6 and C-1', which were incorrectly assigned. ESIMS m/z 417.9 (100%) $[M + H]^+$, 418.9 (25%), 419.9 (10%); HRESIMS m/z 418.2233 $[M + H]^+$, calcd for C₂₃H₃₂NO₆ 418.2230.

(1'*R*)- and (1'*S*)-Hydroxy-3',4'-didehydrostemofoline (27 and 28). To a solution of aldehyde 17⁴ (94 mg, 0.261 mmol) in THF/saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (5:2, 6 mL) was added indium powder (60 mg, 0.52 mmol) and allyl bromide (135 μ L, 1.56 mmol). The reaction flask was sealed and sonicated for 3 h. The THF was evaporated to give a white residue, which then was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ and aqueous NaHCO₃. The layers were separated and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The CH₂Cl₂ was washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). The crude mixture of **27** and **28** (81 mg) was put through the next step without purification.

(1'*R*)- and (1'*S*)-**Hydroxy-3'**,4'-didehydrostemofoline (27 and 28). To a solution of aldehyde 17⁴ (35 mg, 0.099 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL) at 0 °C under a N₂ atmosphere was added ¹Ipc₂Ball (0.49 mL of 1 M in pentane, 0.49 mmol) and was left to stir at 0 °C for 2 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with MeOH (5 mL), and 10% aqueous HCl (5 mL) was added. The aqueous solution was washed with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The aqueous phase was basified with aqueous NaOH and then extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 10 mL). The CH₂Cl₂ extract was washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). The concentrated residue was purified by CC with gradient elution, EtOAc to EtOAc/MeOH (95:5), to give a mixture of 27 and 28 (dr = 9:1, 31 mg, 0.076 mmol, 77% yield) as a white gum.

The compounds were also prepared from 17^4 (35 mg, 0.099 mmol) using the above procedure except that ^{*d*}Ipc₂Ball (0.49 mL of 1 M in pentane, 0.493 mmol) was used. This gave a mixture of **27** and **28** (dr = 14:86, 27 mg, 0.068 mmol, 69% yield) as a white gum.

(1'R)- and (1'S)-Acetyl-3',4'-didehydrostemofoline (29 and 30). A mixture of 27 and 28 (81 mg) was dissolved in pyridine (2 mL), and acetic anhydride (2 mL) was added at rt. The reaction mixture was left to stir for 4 h before the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (5 mL), and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The CH2Cl2 extract was washed with brine, dried (MgSO4), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by CC using gradient elution (petroleum ether/EtOAc (1:1) to EtOAc) to give 29 (51 mg, 0.115 mmol, 44% yield over 2 steps) as a pale yellow gum as a major product and 30 (32 mg, 0.073 mmol, 28% yield over 2 steps) as a pale yellow gum as a minor product. 29: $R_f = 0.46$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{24}$ +226.5 (c 1.0, CHCl₃).; IR ν_{max} 2924, 2858, 1741, 1618, 1372, 1234 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.78–5.70 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.19 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.14 (s, 1H, H-(4'E)), 5.08 (t, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-(4'Z)), 4.45 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.13 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.46 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.26-3.20 (m, 1H, H-5a), 3.09-3.03 (m, 1H, H-10), 3.01-2.96 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.85 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.43–2.35 (m, 1H, H-2'), 2.06 (s, 3H, H-16), 2.03 (s, 3H, 1'-OCOCH₃), 1.93 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.89-1.87 (m, 2H, H-6), 1.83 (ddd, J = 18.0 Hz, 10.5 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-9), 1.63 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.36 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17); ¹³C NMR

δ 170.5 (1'-OCOCH₃), 169.8 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.2 (C-11), 133.3 (C-3'), 128.1 (C-12), 118.3 (C-4'), 112.7 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 85.4 (C-3), 76.6 (C-2), 70.7 (C-1'), 60.9 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 49.4 (C-7), 48.2 (C-5), 47.9 (C-9), 35.6 (C-2'), 34.6 (C-10), 33.2 (C-1), 27.4 (C-6), 21.2 (1'-OCOCH₃), 18.4 (C-17), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 443.9 (100%) [M $(+ H)^+, 444.9 (25\%), 446.0 (5\%); HRESIMS m/z 444.2011 [M + H]^+,$ calcd for $C_{24}H_{30}NO_7$ 444.2022. **30**: $R_f = 0.59$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_{D}^{24}$ +188.0 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 2924, 1740, 1629, 1460, 1362, 1234 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.76–5.68 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.10 (s, 1H, H-1'), 5.05 (dd, J = 16.5 Hz, 8.0 Hz, 2H, H-4'), 4.48 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.13 (s, 1H, H-2), 4.133H, O-CH₃), 3.48 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.18-3.12 (m, 1H, H-5a), 3.09-3.06 (m, 1H, H-10), 3.04-2.98 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.71 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.62 (ddd, J = 14.0 Hz, 3.0 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-2'a), 2.14-2.09 (m, 1H, H-2'b), 2.08 (s, 3H, 1'-OCOCH₃), 2.06 (s, 3H, H-16), 2.05-2.01 (m, 1H, H-6b), 1.98 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.85-1.80 (m, 1H, H-6a), 1.82 (dd, J = 10.5 Hz, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-9), 1.67 (d, J =12.0 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.37 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H, H-17); ¹³C NMR δ 170.9 (1'-OCOCH₃), 169.7 (C-15), 162.8 (C-13), 148.2 (C-11), 134.0 (C-3'), 128.1 (C-12), 117.9 (C-4'), 112.5 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 84.9 (C-3), 75.8 (C-2), 69.7 (C-1'), 61.2 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 48.6 (C-7, C-5), 47.8 (C-9), 35.0 (C-2'), 34.6 (C-10), 33.5 (C-1), 26.7 (C-6), 21.0 (1'-OCOCH3), 18.5 (C-17), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 443.9 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 444.9 (25%), 445.9 (5%); HRESIMS *m*/*z* 444.2015 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₄H₃₀NO₇ 444.2022.

(1'R)-Hydroxy-3',4'-didehydrostemofoline (27). To a solution of acetate derivative 29 (24 mg, 0.053 mmol) in THF/H₂O (2:1, 3.0 mL) was added LiOH (21 mg of 53% assay, 0.265 mmol) at rt, and the reaction mixture was left to stir for 16 h. Water was added (5 mL), and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The CH_2Cl_2 extract was first washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and then brine and dried (MgSO₄). The concentrated residue was purified by CC using gradient elution [EtOAc to EtOAc/MeOH (98:2)] to give the alcohol 27 (13 mg, 0.032 mmol, 61% yield) as a pale yellow gum: $R_f = 0.23$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{24} + 308.0$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃); IR $v_{\rm max}$ 3446, 2965, 2919, 2847, 1743, 1621 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.98–5.88 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.18 (d, J = 18.0 Hz, 1H, H-(4'Z)), 5.12 (d, J = 10.0Hz, 1H, H-(4'E)), 4.48 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.13 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.68 (dd, J = 9.5 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-1'b), 3.51 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.18-3.12 (m, 1H, H-5a), 3.10-3.05 (m, 1H, H-10), 3.05-3.00 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.82 (d, J = 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.39-2.34 (m, 1H, H-2'b), 2.32-2.26 (m, n)1H, H-1'a), 2.06 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.97 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.94–1.89 (m, 2H, H-6), 1.89–1.84 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.64 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.37 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H, H-17); ¹³C NMR δ 169.8 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.2 (C-11), 135.0 (C-3'), 128.1 (C-12), 117.7 (C-4'), 112.6 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 87.0 (C-3), 75.6 (C-2), 67.9 (C-1'), 61.0 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 48.2 (C-7), 48.1 (C-9), 47.6 (C-5), 36.7 (C-2'), 34.5 (C-10), 33.9 (C-1), 27.4 (C-6), 18.4 (C-17), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 402.2 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 403.2 (20%); HRESIMS m/z402.1912 $[M + H]^+$, calcd for C₂₂H₂₈NO₆ 402.1917.

(1'S)-Hydroxy-3',4'-didehydrostemofoline (28). Compound 28 was prepared via a method similar to the synthesis of 27, using acetate derivative 30 (15 mg, 0.034 mmol) and LiOH (14 mg of 53% assay, 0.170 mmol) to give alcohol 28 (10 mg, 0.025 mmol, 73% yield) as a white gum: $R_f = 0.36$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{24} + 380.0$ (c 0.41, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 3286, 2957, 2924, 2854, 1744, 1615 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 5.90–5.82 (m, 1H, H-3'), 5.19 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, H-(4'Z)), 5.16 (s, 1H, H-(4'E)), 4.40 (s, 1H, H-2), 4.13 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.71 (d, J =10.5 Hz, 1H, H-1'a), 3.49 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.17-3.13 (m, 1H, H-9), 3.13-3.06 (m, 1H, H-10), 3.03 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-5a), 3.04-2.98 (m, 1H, H-7), 2.53 (dd, J = 14.0 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-2'a), 2.07 (s, 3H, H-16), 2.02 (d, J = 14.5 Hz, 1H, H-2'b), 2.00 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, H-6b), 1.97 (d, J = 13.0 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.90–1.88 (m, 1H, H-6a), 1.85 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-5b), 1.69 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.37 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H, H-17); ¹³C NMR δ 169.8 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.5 (C-11), 135.2 (C-3'), 128.1 (C-12), 118.6 (C-4'), 112.9 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 86.0 (C-3), 77.0 (C-2), 69.8 (C-1'), 61.7 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 49.3 (C-7, C-9), 48.0 (C-5), 37.8 (C-2'), 34.6 (C-1, C-10), 27.3 (C-6), 18.4 (C-17), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 402.2 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 403.2 (20%), 404.2 (10%); HRESIMS *m*/*z* 402.1903 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₂H₂₈NO₆ 402.1917.

(1'R)-Hydroxystemofoline (9). To a solution of alcohol 27 (12 mg, 0.029 mmol) in dry MeOH (2 mL) at rt was added Pd/C (1.2 mg, 10% w/w), and the flask was flushed with N₂ for 10 min before being left to stir under a H₂ atmosphere (balloon) for 45 min. The flask was flushed with N₂, and the solution was filtered through Celite and washed

with MeOH. The filtrate was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by CC [gradient elution from CH2Cl2 to CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (98:2)] to give the alcohol 9 (4.1 mg, 0.010 mmol, 35% yield) as a yellow gum: $R_f = 0.19$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +298.7 (c 0.34, CHCl₃); IR $\nu_{\rm max}$ 3462, 2960, 2919, 2868, 1744, 1620 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz) δ 4.47 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.14 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.64-3.59 (m, 1H, H-1'b), 3.54 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.23-3.15 (m, 1H, H-5a), 3.15-3.07 (m, 1H, H-10), 3.07-2.99 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.80 (br s, 1H, H-7), 2.07 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.98 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.91-1.86 (m, 3H, H-6, H-9), 1.70-1.62 (m, 2H, H-1b, H-3'a), 1.55-1.47 (m, 2H, H-2'), 1.44-1.42 (m, 1H, H-3'b), 1.38 (d, J = 6.6Hz, 3H, H-17), 0.97 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H, H-4'); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz) δ 169.8 (C-15), 162.8 (C-13), 148.2 (C-11), 128.2 (C-12), 112.9 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 87.4 (C-3), 75.6 (C-2), 67.9 (C-1'), 61.1 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 48.2 (C-9), 48.1 (C-7), 47.5 (C-5), 34.5 (C-10), 34.2 (C-2'), 34.0 (C-1), 27.4 (C-6), 20.2 (C-3'), 18.5 (C-17), 14.3 (C-4'), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 404.2 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 405.2 (18%), 406.2 (10%); HRESIMS m/z 404.2069 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₂H₃₀NO₆ 404.2073.

(1'S)-Hydroxystemofoline (10). Compound 10 was prepared via a method similar to the synthesis of 9, using alcohol 28 (17 mg, 0.042 mmol) and Pd/C (1.7 mg, 10% w/w) to give alcohol 10 (7 mg, 0.017 mmol, 40% yield) as a white gum: $R_f = 0.28$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_D^{23}$ +219.0 (c 0.58, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 3183, 2924, 2854, 1744, 1615, 982 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.36 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.14 (s, 3H, O-C<u>H</u>₃), 3.73 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, H-1'a), 3.58 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.23 (br s, 1H, H-5a), 3.12-3.10 (m, 1H, H-10), 3.07-3.03 (m, 1H, H-5b), 3.00 (d, J = 6.0Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.07 (s, 3H, H-16), 2.10-2.02 (m, 1H, H-6a), 1.99 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.91-1.87 (m, 2H, H-6b, H-9), 1.78-1.76 (m, 1H, H-1b), 1.65–1.57 (m, 2H, H-2'b, H-3'b), 1.38 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17), 1.41-1.30 (m, 2H, H-2'a, H-3'a), 0.95 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-4'); ¹³C NMR δ 169.8 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.2 (C-11), 128.2 (C-12), 112.8 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 87.0 (C-3), 77.4 (C-2), 70.9 (C-1'), 62.0 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 49.4 (C-5), 47.9 (C-9), 47.7 (C-7), 34.6 (C-10), 35.2 (C-2'), 33.1 (C-1), 27.2 (C-6), 19.9 (C-3'), 18.4 (C-17), 14.1 (C-4'), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 404.2 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 405.2 (20%), 406.2 (5%); HRESIMS m/z 404.2064 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₂H₃₀NO₆ 404.2073.

11,12-Dihydroxystemofoline (31). To a solution of 11^{18} (40 mg, 0.104 mmol) in 2:1 acetone/H2O (3.0 mL) at rt was added 4-methylmorpholine-N-oxide (23 mg, 0.193 mmol) and K₂OsO₄ • 2H₂O (2 mg, 0.005 mmol), respectively. The reaction was left to stir at rt for 16 h, sodium sulfite (50 mg) was added, and stirring was continued for 1 h. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of cotton. A saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO3 was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The CH_2Cl_2 extract was washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). The concentrated residue was purified by CC $[CH_2Cl_2 \text{ to } CH_2Cl_2/MeOH (90:10)]$ to give **31** (25 mg, 0.058 mmol, 56% yield) as a pale yellow gum: $R_f = 0.40$ in MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (1:9); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ -8.4 (c 1.55, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 3282, 2954, 2931, 2871, 1671, 1327, 1022 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 4.25 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.14 (s, 3H, O-C \underline{H}_3), 3.40 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.14–3.08 (m, 3H, H-5, H-9), 2.86 (br, 1H, H-10), 2.44 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.05-1.93 (m, 1H, H-1a), 1.96 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.91-1.78 (m, 2H, H-6), 1.65-1.60 (m, 1H, H-1b), 1.60-1.55 (m, 2H, H-1'), 1.45-1.28 (m, 4H, H-2', H-3'), 1.08 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H, H-17), 0.94 (t, J = 11.0 Hz, 3H, H-4'); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 175.1 (C-15), 171.4 (C-13), 112.3 (C-8), 109.1 (C-11), 104.1 (C-12), 100.4 (C-14), 84.2 (C-3), 79.2 (C-2), 62.5 (C-9a), 59.8 (O-CH₃), 51.4 (C-7), 48.3 (C-5), 45.3 (C-9), 37.2 (C-10), 33.6 (C-1), 32.4 (C-1'), 28.3 (C-2'), 26.6 (C-6), 24.2 (C-3'), 14.3 (C-4'), 12.7 (C-17), 8.2 (C-16). The NMR spectra were also determined in CDCl3; however, the signal for C-12 could not be observed. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) δ 4.25 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.12 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.45 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.14–3.08 (m, 1H, H-5a), 3.02–2.96 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.73-2.66 (m, 1H, H-10), 2.51 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.01(s, 3H, H-16), 1.98 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.91 (d, J = 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-9), 1.86-1.81 (m, 1H, H-6a), 1.79-1.73 (m, 1H, H-6b), 1.70 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.54 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 2H, H-1'), 1.42-1.36 (m, 1H, H-2'), 1.35-1.31 (m, 2H, H-3'), 1.28-1.20 (m, 1H, H-2'), 1.13 (br s, 2H, 11-O<u>H</u>, 12-O<u>H</u>), 1.03 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17); 0.90 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-4'); ¹³C NMR δ 172.7 (C-15), 168.0 (C-13), 111.3 (C-8), 101.9 (C-11), 100.0 (C-14), 83.2 (C-3), 78.7 (C-2), 61.0 (C-9a), 59.1 (O-CH₃), 49.8 (C-7), 47.5 (C-5), 46.0 (C-9), 36.0 (C-10), 32.9 (C-1), 31.5 (C-1'), 27.4 (C-2'), 26.2 (C-6), 23.2 (C-3'), 14.1 (C-4'), 12.6 (C-17), 8.7 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 422.0 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 423.1

(20%), 424.1 (5%); HRESIMS m/z 422.2166 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₂H₃₂NO₇ 422.2179.

(2S,2aR,6S,7aS,7bS,8R,9S)-7b-Butylhexahydro-9-methyl-4H-2,2,6-(epoxy[1]propanyl[3]ylidene)furo[2,3,4-gh]pyrrolizin-10-one (32). To a stirred mixture of silica gel (1.67 g) suspended in diethyl ether (1 mL) at rt was added NaIO₄ (16 mg, 0.076 mmol) in water (1 mL). Then a solution of 31 (25 mg, 0.058 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was left to stir for 1 h at rt. The reaction mixture was filtered through a pad of cotton, and the filtrate was dried (MgSO₄). The crude product was purified by CC [CH₂Cl₂ to CH₂Cl₂/MeOH (95: 5)] to give 32 (10 mg, 0.035 mmol, 60% yield) as a clear yellow gum: $R_f = 0.37$ in MeOH/EtOAc (1:4); $[\alpha]_{25}^{25} + 26.3$ (c 0.21, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 2945, 2921, 2868, 1797, 970 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 4.32 (br s, 1H, H-2), 3.41 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.19–3.12 (m, 1H, H-5b), 3.05–2.98 (m, 1H, H-5a), 2.77 (dq, J = 11.5 Hz, 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-10), 2.65 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H, H-7), 1.98 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.96-1.92 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.92-1.88 (m, 1H, H-6a), 1.84-1.78 (m, 1H, H-6b), 1.75 (dt, J = 12.5 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.62-1.50 (m, 2H, H-1'), 1.46-1.38 (m, 1H, H-2'), 1.35 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H, H-3'), 1.26 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, 10-CH₃), 1.28–1.20 (m, 1H, H-2'), 0.92 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H, H-4'); ¹³C NMR δ 178.5 (C-11), 109.26 (C-8), 83.2 (C-3), 78.9 (C-2), 61.2 (C-9a), 50.2 (C-7), 47.7 (C-5), 45.7 (C-9), 35.9 (C-10), 32.9 (C-1), 31.9 (C-1'), 27.3 (C-2'), 26.6 (C-6), 23.2 (C-3'), 14.1 (C-4'), 13.4 (10-<u>C</u>H₃); ESIMS m/z 278.2 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 279.2 (20%); 280.2 (3%); HRESIMS m/z 278.1679 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₁₆H₂₄NO₆ 278.1756.

(2S,2aR,6S,7aS,7bS,8R,9S,10Z)-Tetrahydro-10-(3-methoxy-4-methyl-5-oxo-2(5H)-furanylidene)-9-methyl-4H-2,2,6-(epoxy[1]propanyl[3]ylidene)furo[2,3,4-gh]pyrrolizine-7b(6H)-(2E)-2-propenal (33a), (2S,2aR,6S,7aS, 7bS,8R,9S,10Z)-Tetrahydro-10-(3-methoxy-4-methyl-5-oxo-2(5H)-furanylidene)-9-methyl-4H-2,2,6-(epoxy[1]propanyl[3]ylidene)furo[2,3,4-gh]pyrrolizine-7b(6H)-(2E,4E)-2,4-pentadienal (33b), and (2S,2aR,6S,7aS, 7bS,8R,9S,10Z)-Tetrahydro-10-(3-methoxy-4-methyl-5-oxo-2(5H)-furanylidene)-9-methyl-4H-2,2,6-(epoxy[1]propanyl[3]ylidene)furo[2,3,4-gh]pyrrolizine-7b(6H)-(2E,4E,6E)-2,4,6-septatrienal (33c). To a solution of $17^{\rm 4}$ (56 mg, 0.157 mmol) in dry toluene (3 mL) at rt under a N_2 atmosphere was added (triphenylphosphoranylidene)acetaldehyde (95 mg, 0.314 mmol). Then the reaction mixture was heated to 80 °C under N₂ for 2 days. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ solution and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The CH_2Cl_2 extract was washed with brine and dried over MgSO4. After evaporation the crude product mixture (114 mg) was obtained as a yellow gum. This mixture was taken through the next step without further purification.

(5Z)-5-[(2S,2aR,6S,7aS,7bS,8R,9S)-Hexahydro-7b-[(1E)-3-hydroxybutenyl]-9-methyl-4H-2,2,6-(epoxy[1]propanyl[3]ylidene)furo[2,3,4-gh]pyrrolizin-10-ylidene]-4-methoxy-3-methyl-2(5H)-furanone (34a), (5Z)-5-[(2S,2aR,6S,7aS,7bS,8R,9S)-Hexahydro-7b-[(1E,3E)-5-hydroxy-1,3-pentadienyl]-9-methyl-4H-2,2,6-(epoxy[1]propanyl[3]ylidene)furo[2,3,4gh]pyrrolizin-10-ylidene]-4-methoxy-3-methyl-2(5H)-furanone (34b), and (5Z)-5-[(2S,2aR,6S,7aS,7bS,8R,9S)-Hexahydro-7b-[(1E,3E,5E)-7-hydroxy-1,3,5-septatrienyl]-9-methyl-4H-2,2,6-(epoxy[1]propanyl[3]ylidene)furo[2,3,4gh]pyrrolizin-10-ylidene]-4-methoxy-3-methyl-2(5H)-furanone (34c). To a solution of 33a-c (114 mg) in dry MeOH (2 mL) was added NaBH₄ (12 mg) at rt. The reaction mixture was left to stir at rt for 45 min. The MeOH was then evaporated to give a white residue. A saturated aqueous solution of NaHCO₃ (5. mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The CH_2Cl_2 extract was washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄). Evaporation gave the crude product as a yellow gum (112 mg). This was purified by PTLC using 10% MeOH/EtOAc to give 34a (7.1 mg, 0.018 mmol, 12% yield over 2 steps), 34b (17.5 mg, 0.042 mmol, 27% yield over 2 steps), and **34c** (3.3 mg, 0.008 mmol, 5% yield over 2 steps). **34a**: $R_f =$ 0.06 in MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (1:9); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +280.1 (c 1.14, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 3329, 2933, 2921, 2864, 1752, 1621, 1003 cm $^{-1};$ $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR δ 5.94 (dt, J = 15.0 Hz, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.81 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H,H-1'), 4.24 (s, 1H, H-2), 4.19 (br s, 2H, H-3'), 4.14 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.51 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.13-3.07 (m, 2H, H-5a, H-10), 3.02-2.97 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.88 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.07 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.96 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.92–1.86 (m, 2H, H-6), 1.86–1.82 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.78 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.70 (br s, 1H, 3'-OH), 1.38 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17); ¹³C NMR δ 169.8 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.4 (C-11), 130.5 (C-2'), 129.1 (C-1'), 128.1 (C-12), 112.9 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 83.1 (C-3), 80.6 (C-2), 63.0 (C-3'), 61.1 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 51.6 (C-7), 48.3 (C-5), 47.8 (C-9), 34.7 (C-10), 32.9 (C-1), 27.0 (C-6), 18.4 (C-17), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 388.0 (100%) $[M + H]^+$, 389.1 (20%); HRESIMS m/z 388.1762 $[M + H]^+$, calcd for $C_{21}H_{25}NO_6$ 388.1760. **34b**: $R_f = 0.13$ in MeOH/ CH₂Cl₂ (1:9); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ +229.9 (c 0.77, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 3288, 3007, 2937, 2872, 1726, 1613, 1005 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 6.34 (dd, J = 14.5Hz, 10.5 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 6.30 (dd, J = 15.5 Hz, 10.5 Hz, 1H, H-2'), 5.87 (dt, J = 14.5 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-4'), 5.76 (d, J = 14.5 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 4.23 (s, 1H, H-2), 4.20 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H, H-5'), 4.14 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.52 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.14–3.06 (m, 2H, H-5a, H-10), 3.03-2.97 (m, 1H, H-5b), 2.88 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.08 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.96 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.90–1.82 (m, 3H, H-6, H-9), 1.78 (d, *J* = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.59 (br s, 1H, 5'-OH), 1.38 (d, J 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17); ¹³C NMR δ 169.8 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.4 (C-11), 132.8 (C-4'), 131.7 (C-1'), 130.6 (C-2'), 130.0 (C-3'), 128.1 (C-12), 112.9 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 83.5 (C-3), 80.8 (C-2), 63.4 (C-5'), 61.1 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 52.1 (C-7), 48.4 (C-5), 47.8 (C-9), 34.7 (C-10), 33.0 (C-1), 27.0 (C-6), 18.5 (C-17), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 414.0 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 415.0 (20%); HRESIMS m/z 414.1902 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₃H₂₇NO₆ 414.1917. **34c**: $R_f = 0.27$ in MeOH/CH₂Cl₂ (1:9); $[\alpha]_D^{25} + 174.2$ (*c* 0.15, CHCl₃); IR ν_{max} 3378, 2962, 2921, 2851, 1742, 1618 cm⁻¹; ¹H NMR δ 6.38-6.33 (m, 1H, H-2'), 6.31-6.26 (m, 1H, H-5'), 6.26-6.23 (m, 2H, H-3', H-4'), 5.87 (dt, J = 14.5 Hz, 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-6'), 5.77 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 4.24 (br s, 1H, H-2), 4.21 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H, H-7'), 4.14 (s, 3H, O-CH₃), 3.52 (br s, 1H, H-9a), 3.14-3.06 (m, 2H, H-5b, H-10), 3.04-2.88 (m, 1H, H-5a), 2.88 (d, J = 5.5Hz, 1H, H-7), 2.07 (s, 3H, H-16), 1.96 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H, H-1a), 1.91-1.86 (m, 1H, H-9), 1.86-1.77 (m, 2H, H-6), 1.78 (d, J =12.5 Hz, 1H, H-1b), 1.75 (br s, 1H, 7'-OH), 1.38 (d, J 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-17); $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR δ 169.8 (C-15), 162.9 (C-13), 148.4 (C-11), 132.9 (C-6'), 132.5 (C-4'), 132.2 (C-3'), 131.8 (C-1'), 131.2 (C-5'), 130.7 (C-2'), 128.1 (C-12), 112.9 (C-8), 98.8 (C-14), 85.6 (C-3), 80.8 (C-2), 63.5 (C-7'), 61.1 (C-9a), 59.0 (O-CH₃), 52.1 (C-7), 48.4 (C-5), 47.8 (C-9), 34.7 (C-10), 33.0 (C-1), 27.0 (C-6), 18.5 (C-17), 9.3 (C-16); ESIMS m/z 440.1 (100%) [M + H]⁺, 441.2 (5%); HRESIMS m/z 440.2049 [M + H]⁺, calcd for C₂₅H₂₉NO₆ 440.2073.

Bioautography Procedure. TLC bioautography was performed using the method described by Hostettmann et al.¹⁵ TLC plates were prepared for bioautography by washing with acetone and then thoroughly dried. Samples were applied to the plates in varying quantities and sprayed with AChE enzyme stock solution (prepared from acetylcholinesterase (EC 3.1.1.7, 906 U/mg) as described in the literature¹⁵). The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 20 min and then sprayed with freshly prepared indicator solution (from 1-naphthyl acetate and Fast Blue B salt prepared according to the literature¹⁵) to give the plate a purple coloration after 1–2 min. A white spot indicated inhibition of AChE by the sample.

Acknowledgment. We thank the Australian Research Council and the University of Wollongong for financial support.

Supporting Information Available: Copies of the ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectra of all compounds and full experimental procedures for Scheme 2. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

References and Notes

- Pilli, R. A.; Rosso, G. B.; de Oliveira, M. C. F. *The Alkaloids*; Cordell, G. A., Ed.; Elsevier: San Diego, 2005; Vol. 62, Chapter 2, pp 77– 173.
- (a) Mungkornasawakul, P.; Pyne, S. G.; Jatisatienr, A.; Supyen, D.; Lie, W.; Ung, A. T.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 980–982. (b) Kaltenegger, E.; Brem, B.; Mereiter, K.; Kalchhauser, H.; Kahlig, H.; Hofer, O.; Vajrodaya, S.; Greger, H. Phytochemistry 2003, 63, 803–816. (c) Mungkornasawakul, P.; Pyne, S. G.; Jatisatienr, A.; Supyen, D.; Jatisatienr, C.; Lie, W.; Ung, A. T.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J. Nat. Prod. 2004, 67, 675–677. (d) Mungkornasawakul, P.; Matthews, H.; Ung, A. T.; Pyne, S. G.; Jatisatienr, A.; Lie, W.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. ACGC Chem. Res. Commun. 2005, 19, 30–33. (e) Lin, L.-G.; Tang, C.-P.; Dien, P.-H.; Xu, R.-S.; Ye, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 1559–1561. (f) Wang, Y.-Z.; Tang, C.-P.; Dien, P.-H.; Ye, Y. J. Nat. Prod. 2007, 70, 1356–1359.
- (3) Greger, H. Planta Med. 2006, 72, 99-113.
- (4) Baird, M. C.; Pyne, S. G.; Ung, A. T.; Lie, W.; Sastraruji, T.; Jatisatienr, A.; Jatisatienr, C.; Dheeranupattana, S.; Lowlam, J.; Boonchalermkit, S. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 679–684.
- (5) Lin, W.-H.; Xu, R.-S.; Zhong, Q.-X. Acta Chim. Sin. 1991, 49, 1034– 1037.

- (6) Xu, R.-S.; Tang, Z.-J.; Feng, S.-C.; Yang, Y.-P.; Lin, W.-H.; Zhong, Q.-X.; Zhong, Y. Mem. Inst. Oswaldo Cruz 1991, 86 (Suppl. II), 55–59.
- (7) Ramachandran, P. V.; Srivastava, A.; Hazra, D. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 157–160.
- (8) Irie, H.; Masaki, N.; Ohno, K.; Osaki, K.; Taga, T.; Uyeo, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1970, 1066.
- (9) Kong, W.; Fu, C.; Ma, S. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 4587-4592.
- (10) (a) Racherla, U. S.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 401–404.
 (b) Racherla, U. S.; Liao, Y.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 6614–6617. (c) Felpin, F. X.; Lebreton, J. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 67, 9192–9199.
- (11) Mungkornasawakul, P.; Chaiyong, S.; Sastraruji, T.; Jatisatienr, A.; Jatisatienr, C.; Pyne, S. G.; Ung, A. T.; Korth, J.; Lie, W. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 848–851.
- (12) Izquierdo, I.; Plaza, M. T.; Franco, F. *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* **2004**, *15*, 1465–1469.
- (13) Mungkornasawakul, P.; Pyne, S. G.; Jatisatienr, A.; Lie, W.; Ung, A. T.; Issakul, K.; Sawatwanich, A.; Supyen, D.; Jatisatienr, C. J. *Nat. Prod.* **2004**, *67*, 1740–1743.

- (14) Wang, P.; Liu, A.-L.; An, Z.; Li, Z.-H.; Du, G.-H.; Qin, H.-L. Chem. Biodiversity 2007, 4, 523–530.
- (15) Marston, S.; Kissling, J.; Hostettmann, K. Phytochem. Anal. 2002, 13, 51–54.
- (16) Sastraruji, T.; Jatisatienr, A.; Pyne, S. G.; Ung, A. T.; Lie, W.; Williams, M. C. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 1763–1767.
- (17) Compound 13 was isolated from the roots of *Stemona aphylla*, which were collected from Lampang, Thailand, in April 2009. The species of plant was identified by Mr. James F.Maxwell from the Department of Biology, Chiang Mai University, and found to be identical to the plant materials in ref 16.
- (18) Sastraruji, K.; Pyne, S. G.; Ung, A. T.; Mungkornasawakul, P.; Lie, W.; Jatisatienr, A. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 316–318.
- (19) Thompson, C. M.; Quinn, C. A.; Hergenrother, P. J. J. Med. Chem. **2009**, 68, 117–125.
- (20) Dale, J.; Kristiansen, P. O. Acta Chem. Scand. 1972, 26, 1471-1478.
- (21) Dale, J.; Fredriksen, S. B. Acta Chem. Scand. 1985, 39B, 511-512.

NP100137H